

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/453,498	12/03/1999	BRUCE R. SMITH	013550-069	2714	
7590 08/02/2004			EXAMINER		
MICHAEL W. FERRELL, ESQ.			KIM, EUGENE LEE		
FERRELLS, P	LLC		<u> </u>		
P.O. BOX 312			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLIFTON, VA 20124-1706			3721		

DATE MAILED: 08/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

VV-	)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/453,498	SMITH ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_				
	Eugene L Kim	3721					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply 1 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Ju	<u>ly 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21 and 23-26</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> </ol>	·	d in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d					
	or the continued doplets hist redelive	<b>.</b> .					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	. ,					

Application/Control Number: 09/453,498

Art Unit: 3721

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-21, 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable 1. over Van Handel et al (4,721,500) in view of Gospe et al (#6,029,730). Handel et al substantially show the claimed subject matter including a female and male die wherein the dies are heated with electrical resistance heaters. The heaters are not shown. Handel also discloses thermistors mounted in the dies as close as possible to the forming surfaces. (Col 7 lines 66 - col 8 line 2). Handel does not disclose the exact heating elements as claimed Gospe et al disclose that a heater assembly in a cast-in method wherein the heating element is cast in a platen (col 4 lines 48+). Gospe et al disclose that the foil heater 62, which includes a resistor line, which is an electrically conductive material such as, nickel chromium, can be replaced with various thermoelectric devices, such as a cast-in heater. Gospe et al disclose a top and bottom plate 22, 24 that are made from an alloy material, such as, stainless steel (col 3 lines 26+). Gospe et al disclose that the heating elements are inserted in holes/recesses 30. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Handel with a heating element as taught by Gospe et al to use an alternative known thermoelectric device. Since the primary reference, Handel discloses upper and lower resistance heaters, it would have been obvious to provide Handel with heater elements as taught by Gospe et al on both the upper and lower dies to provide for efficient temperature control on the heating surfaces. Regarding the actual materials being claimed, such as, the cast-in material being ferrous or non-ferrous based alloys,

Art Unit: 3721

the examiner notes that selection of material on the basis of suitability for in the intended use is entirely obvious. See in re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960). The examiner takes official notice that it is well known in the ad to use resistive wires as heating elements. Regarding the annular shape of the cast-in heaters as claimed, Gospe et al disclose that the heater is placed within recesses 30 which infers that the heater 32 would be annular in shape to fit into the recess/hole 30.

- 2. Claim 22 is allowed.
- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 7/6/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicants argument regarding the Declaration of Mr. Mark B.

Littlejohn, the examiner notes that secondary reference Gospe et al is being used to teach the concept that cast-in heaters are interchangeable with various heating elements as discussed in col 4 lines 48+. Therefore, the combination is deemed proper and obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Furthermore, the examiner notes that mere selection of known materials, such as cast-in heaters, on the basis of suitability for the intended use would be entirely obvious. See in re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960).

In response to applicants unexpected results, the examiner notes that the combination of references would deem the same results since a cast-in heater would be utilized. The examiner further notes to look at the following website which discloses the well known advantages of cast-in heaters.

http://www.watlow.com/literature/casehistorydetail.cfm?id=175

Application/Control Number: 09/453,498 Page 3

Art Unit: 3721

the examiner notes that selection of material on the basis of suitability for in the intended use is entirely obvious. See in re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960). The examiner takes official notice that it is well known in the ad to use resistive wires as heating elements. Regarding the annular shape of the cast-in heaters as claimed, Gospe et al disclose that the heater is placed within recesses 30 which infers that the heater 32 would be annular in shape to fit into the recess/hole 30.

- 2. Claim 22 is allowed.
- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 7/6/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicants argument regarding the Declaration of Mr. Mark B.

Littlejohn, the examiner notes that secondary reference Gospe et al is being used to teach the concept that cast-in heaters are interchangeable with various heating elements as discussed in col 4 lines 48+. Therefore, the combination is deemed proper and obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Furthermore, the examiner notes that mere selection of known materials, such as cast-in heaters, on the basis of suitability for the intended use would be entirely obvious. See in re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960).

In response to applicants unexpected results, the examiner notes that the combination of references would deem the same results since a cast-in heater would be utilized.

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/453,498

Art Unit: 3721

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene L Kim whose telephone number is 703 308-1886. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 8 a.m. to 6 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 703 308-2187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eugene L Kim
EUGENE KIM
PRIMARY EXAMINER